The Honorable Robert S. Lasnik 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 9 SUSAN SOTO PALMER, et al., 10 NO. 3:22-cv-5035-RSL Plaintiffs, **DEFENDANT STEVEN HOBBS'** 11 AMENDED ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND 12 v. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF 13 STEVEN HOBBS, et al., Defendants. 14 15 Defendant Steven Hobbs hereby answers Plaintiffs' Complaint as follows. To the extent 16 an allegation is directed to either or both Defendant Laurie Jinkins or Andy Billig, Defendant 17 Hobbs is without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation and 18 therefore denies. 19 Defendant Hobbs reserves the right to amend this pleading as permitted by this Court's 20 rules and orders, including Fed. R. Civ. P. 15. 21 INTRODUCTION 22 1. This Paragraph and the statement preceding it state a legal conclusion to which 23 no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant Hobbs is without 24 information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and 25 therefore denies. 26

- 2. Defendant Hobbs admits that District 15 includes parts of the Yakima Valley and Pasco. The remaining allegation in this Paragraph states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant Hobbs denies the remaining allegation in this Paragraph.
- 3. This Paragraph states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 4. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 5. Defendant Hobbs admits that the cities of Yakima, Toppenish, Wapato, and Mabton are located in Yakima County. Defendant Hobbs also admits that the Commission included communities in Benton, Grant, and Franklin counties. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the remainder of this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 6. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 7. Defendant Hobbs admits that the City of Othello is located in Adams County and is included in District 15. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the remainder of this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 8. This Paragraph states a legal conclusion and contains legal arguments to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of allegations in the remainder of this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 9. This Paragraph states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.

- 10. Secretary Hobbs admits that the Redistricting Commission designed the current Legislative District 15. The remainder of this Paragraph states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of allegation in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 11. This Paragraph states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 12. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 13. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 14. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 15. Defendant Hobbs admits that in the Adams County portion of District 15 (where Othello is located), former President Donald Trump received 60.73% of ballots counted. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegation in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 16. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 17. This Paragraph states a legal conclusion and contains legal arguments to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 18. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.

- 19. Defendant Hobbs admits that legislative district elections are held every two years for the House of Representatives. Defendant Hobbs denies that elections for Senate depend on whether legislative districts are even- or odd-numbered. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 20. Defendant Hobbs admits that the Commission assigned District 15 an odd number. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 21. This Paragraph states a legal conclusion and contains legal arguments to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant Hobbs denies the allegations in this Paragraph.
- 22. This Paragraph states a legal conclusion and contains legal arguments to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant Hobbs admits only that this Paragraph has accurately quoted a portion of *LULAC v. Perry*, 548 U.S. 399 (2006), which otherwise speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, denied.
- 23. This Paragraph is a continuation of the previous Paragraph, and Defendant Hobbs incorporates his answer to that Paragraph by reference. To the extent a further response is required, Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 24. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 25. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 26. Defendant Hobbs admits that current District 15 includes portions of Yakima County. Defendant Hobbs admits that, according to 5-year American Community Survey data, the former District 15 had an HCVAP of 39.49%.

- 27. Defendant Hobbs admits that Maria Cantwell was a candidate for U.S. Senate in 2018. Defendant Hobbs denies that Maria Cantwell received 43.3% of the vote. Defendant Hobbs admits that Evangelina Aguilar was a candidate for state senate in District 15 that year and that she received 39.41% of ballots counted. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the remainder of this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 28. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 29. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 30. Defendant Hobbs admits that this Paragraph contains references to two articles from the Seattle Times and Crosscut from November 10, 2021, and October 21, 2021, respectively. Defendant Hobbs otherwise denies.
- 31. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 32. With the exception of presidential preference primaries, Defendant Hobbs denies that races require political affiliation. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 33. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 34. This Paragraph states a legal conclusion and contains legal arguments to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant Hobbs denies the allegations in this Paragraph.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

35. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required,

26

Defendant Hobbs admits that this Court has jurisdiction over the federal law claims in this action, but denies all necessary parties have been joined.

- 36. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant Hobbs admits that the referenced provisions relate to the award of costs and attorney's fees.
- 37. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant Hobbs admits that he is a state official who resides in Washington and performs official duties in Olympia, Washington.
- 38. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant Hobbs admits only that venue is proper in this judicial district and that he is a state official performing official duties in the Western District of Washington.

PARTIES

- 39. Defendant Hobbs admits that Plaintiff Susan Soto Palmer is a registered voter in the State of Washington and has attested that she is over the age of eighteen and a United States citizen. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph.
- 40. Defendant Hobbs admits that Plaintiff Soto Palmer has provided a residential address that is in Yakima Washington, in Yakima County, and that the address is in the current District 15. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph.
- 41. Defendant Hobbs admits that Plaintiff Alberto Isaac Macias is a registered voter in the State of Washington and has attested that he is over the age of eighteen and a United States

26

citizen. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph.

- 42. Defendant Hobbs admits that Plaintiff Macias has provided a residential address in Yakima, Washington, in Yakima County, and that the address is in the current District 15. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph.
- 43. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph.
- 44. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph.
- 45. Defendant Hobbs admits that Plaintiff Fabiola Lopez is a registered voter in the State of Washington and has attested that she is over the age of eighteen and a United States citizen. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph.
- 46. Defendant Hobbs admits that Plaintiff Lopez has a provided a residential address in Toppenish, Washington, in Yakima County, and that the address is in the current District 14. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph.
- 47. Defendant Hobbs admits that Plaintiff Caty Padilla is a registered voter in the State of Washington (under the surname Padilla Johnson) and has attested that she is over the age of eighteen and a United States citizen. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph.
- 48. Defendant Hobbs admits that Plaintiff Padilla has provided a residential address in Toppenish, Washington, in Yakima County, and that the address is in the current District 14. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph.

- 49. Defendant Hobbs admits that Plaintiff Evangelina Aguilar is a registered voter in the State of Washington and has attested that she is over the age of eighteen and a United States citizen. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph.
- 50. Defendant Hobbs admits that Plaintiff Aguilar has provided a residential address in Sunnyside, Washington, in Yakima County, and that the address is in the current District 15. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph.
- 51. Defendant Hobbs admits that Plaintiff Lizette Parra is a registered voter in the State of Washington and has attested that she is over the age of eighteen and a United States citizen. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph.
- 52. Defendant Hobbs admits that Plaintiff Parra has provided a residential address in Pasco, Washington, in Franklin County, and that the address is in the current District 15. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph.
- 53. Defendant Hobbs admits that Plaintiff Heliodora Morfin is a registered voter in the State of Washington and has attested that she is over the age of eighteen and a United States citizen. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph.
- 54. Defendant Hobbs admits that Plaintiff Morfin has provided a residential address in Pasco, Washington, in Franklin County, and that the address is in the current District 15. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph.
- 55. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required,

26

Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.

- 56. Defendant Hobbs admits that Southcentral Coalition of People of Color for Redistricting is a Washington non-profit organization. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 57. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 58. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 59. Defendant Hobbs admits that the language in quotations in the second sentence of this Paragraph accurately quotes a portion of Wash. Rev. Code § 29A.04.230. Defendant Hobbs admits that Wash. Rev. Code § 29A.04.255 provides the Secretary of State will accept and file certain documents, including some declarations of candidacy. Defendant Hobbs admits that the Complaint purports to assert a claim against Defendant Hobbs in his official capacity as the Secretary of State of Washington. Otherwise, this Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant Hobbs denies.
- 60. Defendant Hobbs admits that Defendant Jinkins is the current Speaker of the Washington State House of Representatives and that the Complaint purports to assert claims against Defendant Jinkins in her official capacity. Otherwise, this Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant Hobbs denies.
- 61. Defendant Hobbs admits that Defendant Billig is the current Senate Majority Leader of the Washington State Senate and that the Complaint purports to assert a claim against him in his official capacity. Otherwise, this Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of

24

25

26

law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant Hobbs denies.

LEGAL BACKGROUND

- 62. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant Hobbs admits that the language in quotations is accurate but denies that the Paragraph is a complete or accurate recitation or summary of the referenced law.
- 63. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant Hobbs admits only that this Paragraph has accurately quoted a portion of *Thornburg* v. *Gingles*, 478 U.S. 30 (1986), which otherwise speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, denied.
- 64. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant Hobbs admits only that this Paragraph has accurately quoted a portion of *Thornburg* v. *Gingles*, 478 U.S. 30 (1986), which otherwise speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, denied.
- 65. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant Hobbs admits only that this Paragraph has accurately quoted a portion of *N. Carolina State Conf. of NAACP v. McCrory*, 831 F.3d 204 (4th Cir. 2016), which otherwise speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, denied.
- 66. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, denied.
- 67. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, denied.

by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required,

5 Defendant Hobbs admits only that this Paragraph has accurately quoted a portion of *United*

States v. Marengo Cnty. Comm'n, 731 F.2d 1546 (11th Cir. 1984), which otherwise speaks for

itself. To the extent a further response is required, denied.

70. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, admitted.

- 71. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant Hobbs admits only that this Paragraph has accurately quoted portions of *Village of Arlington Heights v. Metropolitan Housing Development Corp.*, 429 U.S. 252 (1977) and *N. Carolina State Conf. of NAACP v. McCrory*, 831 F.3d 204 (4th Cir. 2016), which otherwise speak for themselves. To the extent a further response is required, denied.
- 72. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant Hobbs admits only that this Paragraph has accurately quoted a portion of *N. Carolina State Conf. of NAACP v. McCrory*, 831 F.3d 204 (4th Cir. 2016), which otherwise speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, denied.
- 73. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant Hobbs admits only that this Paragraph has accurately quoted a portion of *Hunter v. Underwood*, 471 U.S. 222 (1985), which otherwise speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, denied.

26

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS 1 2 A. 2020 Demographic Changes in Washington State 78. Defendant Hobbs admits that, according to 2020 Census data, more than one 3 million people in Washington State identify as Hispanic or Latino. 4 5 79. Defendant Hobbs admits that, according to 2020 Census data, Washington State has the eleventh largest number of people who identify as Hispanic or Latino of the fifty states. 6 80. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined 7 by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, 8 Defendant Hobbs admits only that this Paragraph cites a statute, 13 U.S.C. § 141(c), which 9 10 speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, denied. 81. Admitted. 11 82. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined 12 by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, 13 Defendant Hobbs admits only that this Paragraph cites a statute, Wash. Rev. Code § 44.05.140, 14 which speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, denied. 15 83. Admitted. 16 84. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth 17 of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies. 18 85. Defendant Hobbs admits that, according to 2020 Census data, the number of 19 people in Washington who identify as Hispanic or Latino has increased by 303,423 people since 20 21 2010 and that this represents an increase of 40.1%. Defendant Hobbs admits that the increase in 22 population of persons who do not identify as Hispanic or Latino is 11.3%. 86. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth 23 24 of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies. 87. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth 25

26

of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.

4 1	1 00	D C 1 4 H 11 1 14 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1	
1	88.	Defendant Hobbs admits that, according to 2020 Census data, there has been an	
2	increase since	2010 of more than 20,000 people in Yakima County who identify as Hispanic or	
3	Latino.		
4	89.	Admitted.	
5	90.	Defendant Hobbs admits that, according to 2020 Census data, the number of	
6	people in Yak	cima County in 2020 who identify as Hispanic or Latino was 130,049. Defendant	
7	Hobbs admits that, according to 2020 Census data, people who identify as Hispanic or Latino		
8	comprise 50.65% of the population of Yakima County. Defendant Hobbs admits that, according		
9	to 2010 Census data, people who identify as Hispanic or Latino comprised 45.0% of the		
10	population of	Yakima County.	
11	91.	Defendant Hobbs admits that, according to 2020 Census data, there has been an	
12	increase since	2010 of more than 12,000 people in Franklin County who identify as Hispanic or	
13	Latino.		
14	92.	Defendant Hobbs admits that, according to 2020 Census data, 52,445 people in	
15	Franklin Cou	nty identify as Hispanic or Latino and that this represents 54.2% of the population	
16	of Franklin Co	ounty.	
17	93.	Defendant Hobbs admits that, according to 2020 Census data, 49,339 people in	
18	Benton Coun	ty identify as Hispanic or Latino and that this represents an increase of 16,643	
19	people as compared to data from the 2010 Census.		
20	94.	Admitted.	
21	95.	Admitted.	
22	96.	Admitted.	
23	97.	Defendant Hobbs admits that, according to 2020 Census data, the total population	
24	of people in 2020, in Yakima County, Franklin County, and Benton County who identified as		
25	Hispanic or Latino was 231,833. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a		
26	belief as to the	e truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.	

98. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth 1 2 of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies. В. **The Washington State Redistricting Commission** 3 99. 4 This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, 5 Defendant Hobbs admits that article II, section 43 of the Washington Constitution provides a 6 process for establishing a five-member bipartisan redistricting commission in every year ending 7 in one. 8 100. Admitted. 9 10 101. Admitted. 102. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined 11 by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, 12 13 Defendant Hobbs admits that this Paragraph accurately quotes article II, section 43 of the Washington Constitution, which speaks for itself. 14 15 103. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, 16 Defendant Hobbs admits that this Paragraph accurately quotes Wash. Rev. Code § 44.05.100, 17 which otherwise speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, denied. 18 104. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined 19 by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, 20 21 Defendant Hobbs admits that this Paragraph cites to two statutory authorities, Wash. Rev. Code 22 § 44.05.090 and Wash Rev. Code § 44.05.140, both of which speak for themselves. To the extent a further response is required, denied. 23 24 105. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, 25 26

1	Defendant Hobbs admits that this Paragraph cites to a statutory authority, Wash. Rev. Code
2	§ 44.05.110, which speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, denied.
3	106. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined
4	by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required,
5	Defendant Hobbs admits that this Paragraph includes a reference to a statutory authority, Wash.
6	Rev. Code § 44.05.110, which speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required.
7	denied.
8	107. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined
9	by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required,
10	Defendant Hobbs admits that this Paragraph accurately quotes Wash. Rev. Code § 44.05.110,
11	which otherwise speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, denied.
12	108. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined
13	by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required,
14	Defendant Hobbs admits that this Paragraph accurately quotes Wash. Rev. Code § 44.05.120,
15	which otherwise speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, denied.
16	109. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined
17	by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, admitted.
18	C. 2021 Washington State Redistricting Commission's Official Actions and Approval of Final Maps
19	110. Admitted.
20	111. Admitted.
21	112. Admitted.
22	113. Admitted.
23	114. Admitted.
24	115. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
25	of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
26	

116.	Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
of the allegati	ons in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
117.	Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
of the allegati	ions in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
118.	Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
of the allegati	ions in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
119.	Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
of the allegati	ions in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
120.	Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
of the allegati	ions in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
121.	Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
of the allegati	ions in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
122.	Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
of the allegati	ions in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
123.	Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
of the allegati	ions in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
124.	Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
of the allegati	ions in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
125.	Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
of the allegati	ions in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
126.	Defendant Hobbs admits that a document titled Assessment of Voting Patterns in
Central/Easte	ern Washington and Review of Federal Voting Rights Act, Section 2 Issues,
dated Octobe	er 19, 2021, with the name Dr. Matt Barreto, UCLA Political Science &
Chicana/o St	adies, Faculty Director of the UCLA Voting Rights Project on it, can be found at
https://senate	democrats.wa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/Barreto-WA-Redistricting-
Public-Version	on.pdf. To the extent a further response is required, Defendant Hobbs is without

information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and 1 2 therefore denies. 127. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth 3 of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies. 4 5 128. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies. 6 Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth 7 129. of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies. 8 130. Defendant Hobbs admits that page 18 of the document referenced in Defendant's 9 response to Paragraph 126 of the Complaint includes the phrase "Text-book 'cracking' of Latino 10 population[.]" Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth 11 of the allegations in the remainder of this Paragraph, and therefore denies. 12 131. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined 13 by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, 14 Defendant Hobbs admits that this Paragraph cites to a case, Clerveaux v. E. Ramapo Cent. Sch. 15 Dist., 984 F.3d 213 (2d Cir. 2020), which speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is 16 17 required, Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the remainder of this Paragraph, and therefore denies. 18 Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth 132. 19 of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies. 20 133. Defendant Hobbs admits that a document titled *Proposed WA redistricting maps* 21 22 may violate Voting Rights Act, dated October 21, 2021, updated at 11:16 a.m. on Thursday, Oct. 21, with the name Melissa Santos on it, can be found at https://crosscut.com/politics/2021/ 23 10/proposed-wa-redistricting-maps-may-violate-voting-rights-act. Defendant Hobbs further 24 admits that additional websites reference statements made by Dr. Barreto. Defendant Hobbs is 25

without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the remainder of this Paragraph, and therefore denies.

- 134. Defendant Hobbs admits that the Senate Democratic Caucus posted a webpage, https://senatedemocrats.wa.gov/blog/2021/10/21/new-definitive-analysis-by-ucla-voting-rights-expert-final-washington-state-legislative-plan-must-include-vra-compliant-district-in-the-yakima-valley/, which includes a hyperlink to the document referenced in Defendant's response to Paragraph 126 of the Complaint. The Senate Democratic Caucus webpage includes a date of October 21. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the remainder of this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 135. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 136. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 137. Defendant Hobbs admits that that the document referenced in Defendant's response to Paragraph 126 of the Complaint contains a slide 22, titled *VRA Complaint Option-1: Yakima-Columbia River Valley*, and a slide 23, titled *VRA Compliant Option-2: Yakama Reservation*. Otherwise, this Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent a further response is required, Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the remainder of this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 138. Defendant Hobbs admits that the document referenced in Defendant's response to Paragraph 126 of the Complaint contains a slide 22, titled *VRA Compliant Option-1: Yakima-Columbia River Valley*, which includes a text box with the phrase "Latino CVAP 60%." Otherwise, this Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent a further response is required, Defendant

Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.

- 139. Defendant Hobbs admits that the document referenced in Defendant's response to Paragraph 126 contains a slide 23, titled *VRA Compliant Option-2: Yakama Reservation*, which contains a text box which includes the phrase "Latino CVAP 52%." Otherwise, this Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent a further response is required, Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 140. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 141. Defendant Hobbs admits that the quoted language appeared in the document referenced in Defendant's response to Paragraph 133 of the Complaint. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the remainder of this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 142. Defendant Hobbs admits that the website http://redistricting.wa.gov includes links to "Revised Map October 25, 2021" with another link to "View Revised Map & Comment Online" under the names of both Commissioner Piñero Walkinshaw and Commissioner Sims. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the remainder of this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 143. Defendant Hobbs admits that the documents referenced in Defendant's response to Paragraph 142 of the Complaint purport to include legislative districts for the entirety of the State of Washington. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the remainder of this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 144. This Paragraph states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, denied.

145. Defendant Hobbs admits that the Washington Supreme Court issued an order on 1 2 December 3, 2021, describing a letter sent to the Court on November 16, 2021, by Sarah Augustine, the chair of the Washington State Redistricting Commission (Commission), "stating 3 that the Commission was unable to adopt a redistricting plan by the midnight deadline of 4 November 15, 2021." General Order No. 25700-B-676, In re Washington State Redistricting 5 Commission's Letter to the Supreme Court on November 16, 2021 and the Commission Chair's 6 November 21, 2021, Declaration (Wash.), https://www.courts.wa.gov/content/publicUpload/R 7 edistricting/Order%2025700B676.pdf. The remainder of this Paragraph states a legal conclusion 8 to which no response is required. To the extent a further response is required, denied. 9 Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth 10 of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies. 11 12 147. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20

Defendant Hobbs admits that that the Washington Supreme Court issued an order on December 3, 2021, describing a letter sent to the Court on November 16, 2021, by Sarah Augustine, the chair of the Washington State Redistricting Commission (Commission), with "what she described as a full redistricting plan, consisting of a resolution signed by all four commissioners approving the plan, a signed letter transmitting the plan to the majority and minority leaders of the Washington State Senate and House of Representatives, and maps and legal descriptions of the new congressional and legislative districts." General Order No. 25700-B-676, In re Washington State Redistricting Commission's Letter to the Supreme Court on November 16, 2021 and the Commission Chair's November 21, 2021, Declaration (Wash.), https://www.courts.wa.gov/content/publicUpload/Redistricting/Order%2025700B676.pdf. The remainder of this Paragraph states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the

Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.

21

22

23

24

25

extent a further response is required, denied.

1	149. Defendant Hobbs admits that in a December 3, 2021 order the Washington
2	Supreme Court "decline[d] to exercise its authority under article II, subsection 43(6) and
3	chapter 44.05 Wash. Rev. Code to adopt a redistricting plan because it concludes that the plan
4	adopted by the Washington State Redistricting Commission met the constitutional deadline and
5	substantially complied with the statutory deadline to transmit the matter to the legislature."
6	General Order No. 25700-B-676, In re Washington State Redistricting Commission's Letter to
7	the Supreme Court on November 16, 2021 and the Commission Chair's November 21, 2021,
8	Declaration (Wash.), https://www.courts.wa.gov/content/publicUpload/Redistricting/Order%2
9	<u>025700B676.pdf</u> .
10	150. Admitted.
11	D. Elections in the Yakima Valley Region Exhibit Racially Polarized Voting
12	151. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
13	of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
14	152. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
15	of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
16	153. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
17	of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
18	154. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
19	of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
20	155. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
21	of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
22	156. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
23	of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
24	157. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
25	of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
26	

Precinct 104. Defendant Hobbs admits that a majority of the total population of Yakima County Precinct 104 identifies as Hispanic or Latino.

- 167. Defendant Hobbs admits that in the 2018 State Senate election for Legislative District 15, Evangelina Aguilar received 70.00% of ballots counted in Yakima County Precinct 501. Defendant Hobbs admits that a majority of the total population of Yakima County Precinct 501 identifies as Hispanic or Latino. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 168. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 169. Defendant Hobbs admits that, using adjusted 2020 Census data, there were 50 precincts in the former Legislative District 15 in which more than 50% of the total population identified as Hispanic or Latino. Evangelina Aguilar received a majority of the ballots cast in 24 of those 50 precincts. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 170. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 171. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 172. Defendant Hobbs admits that in the 2018 State Senate election for Legislative District 15, Evangelina Aguilar received 21.51% of ballots counted in Yakima County Precinct 4616. Defendant Hobbs denies that a majority of the population in Precinct 4616 identifies as White. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 173. Defendant Hobbs admits that in the 2018 State Senate election for Legislative District 15, Evangelina Aguilar received 22.00% of ballots counted in Yakima County Precinct 4106. Defendant Hobbs admits that, according to adjusted Census 2020 data, a majority

- 183. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant Hobbs admits only that this Paragraph has cited a case, *Montes v. City of Yakima*, 40 F. Supp. 3d 1377 (E.D. Wash. 2014), which speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, denied.
- 184. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant Hobbs admits only that this Paragraph has cited a case, *Aguilar v. Yakima County*, Case No. 20-2-0018019 (Kittitas Cnty. Sup. Ct. July 13, 2020), which would speak for itself. To the extent a further response is required, Defendant Hobbs is without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the remainder of this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 185. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 186. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant Hobbs admits only that this Paragraph has cited a case, *Glatt v. City of Pasco*, No. 4:16-CV-05108-LRS (E.D. Wash. Jan. 27, 2017), which speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, Defendant Hobbs is without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the remainder of this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 187. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant Hobbs admits only that this Paragraph has cited a case from California, *Luna v. County of Kern*, 291 F. Supp. 3d 1088 (E.D. Cal. 2018), which speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, Defendant Hobbs is without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the remainder of this Paragraph, and therefore denies.

- 188. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 189. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 190. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.

E. The Washington Redistricting Commission's Approved State Legislative Map Dilutes the Strength of Latino Voters in the Yakima Valley Region

- 191. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 192. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 193. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 194. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 195. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 196. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 197. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 198. Defendant Hobbs admits that the Commission's version of Legislative District 15 does not include the cities of Wapato, Toppenish, and Mabton, and does not include areas of the City of Yakima. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.

1	208. Defenda
2	the new District 15 vot
3	Hobbs admits that, in the
4	to elect Culp for Gover
5	the 2018 general election
6	U.S. Congress and Hu
7	general election, voters
8	Trump for President
9	sufficient to form a beli
10	209. Defenda
11	of the allegations in this
12	210. This Par
13	extent a response is req
14	211. Defenda
15	of the allegations in this
16	F. The Totality of
17	Valley Region Process and El
18	212. This Par
19	extent a response is requ
20	as to the truth of allegat
21	213. Defenda
22	of the allegations in this
23	214. Admitte
24	215. Defenda
25	of the allegations in this
26	, and the second

- ant Hobbs denies that, in the 2020 general election, voters who reside in ed to elect Republican Donald Trump for President in 2020. Defendant he 2020 general election, voters who reside in the new District 15 voted rnor and Larkin for Attorney General. Defendant Hobbs admits that, in on, voters who reside in the new District 15 voted to elect Newhouse for tchison for U.S. Senate. Defendant Hobbs admits that in, in the 2016 s who reside in the new District 15 voted to elect Republican Donald and Bryant for Governor. Defendant Hobbs is without information ef as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- ant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth s Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- agraph states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the uired, Defendant Hobbs denies the allegations in this Paragraph.
- ant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth s Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- the Circumstances Demonstrates That Latino Voters in the Yakima Have Less Opportunity Than Others to Participate in the Political ect Candidates of Choice
- agraph states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the uired, Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief tions in this Paragraph, and therefore denies
- ant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth s Paragraph, and therefore denies.
 - d.
- ant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth s Paragraph, and therefore denies.

- 216. This Paragraph asserts legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined by the Court, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant Hobbs admits only that this Paragraph has cited a case from California, *Luna v. County of Kern*, 291 F. Supp. 3d 1088 (E.D. Cal. 2018), which speaks for itself. To the extent a further response is required, Defendant Hobbs is without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the remainder of this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 217. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 218. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 219. Defendant Hobbs admits that a document titled *Yakima's cultural divide*, with the names Mike Faulk and YakimaHerald.com, and a date of October 16, 2015, appears at the link contained in Paragraph 219 of the Complaint. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the remainder of this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 220. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 221. Defendant Hobbs admits that, according to news coverage, Antonio Zambrano-Montes was shot and killed by Pasco, Washington police. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remainder of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 222. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 223. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.

1 I	224.	Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
1		
2		ons in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
3	225.	Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
4	of the allegation	ons in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
5	226.	Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
6	of the allegation	ons in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
7	227.	Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
8	of the allegation	ons in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
9	228.	Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
10	of the allegation	ons in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
11	229.	Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
12	of the allegation	ons in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
13	230.	Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
14	of the allegation	ons in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
15	231.	Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
16	of the allegation	ons in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
17	232.	Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
18	of the allegation	ons in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
19	233.	Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
20	of the allegation	ons in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
21	234.	Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
22	of the allegation	ons in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
23	235.	Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
24	of the allegation	ons in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
25	236.	Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
26	of the allegation	ons in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.

- 237. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 238. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 239. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 240. Defendant Hobbs admits that the hyperlink referenced in footnote 2 links to a webpage headed "Yakima Health District" with information titled *Race and Ethnicity Breakdown of COVID-19 Positive Individuals*. The website states "[t]his information will be updated every two weeks." Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the remainder of this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 241. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 242. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 243. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 244. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 245. Defendant Hobbs admits that the hyperlink referenced in footnote 3 links to a webpage headed "Yakima County Auditor" with information titled 2020 General Election Voter Participation by surname. Defendant Hobbs further admits that the number 37,978 appears in a column titled Issued and a row titled Spanish surname, and the number 21,281 appears in a column titled Returned and a row titled Spanish surname. Defendant Hobbs further admits that the number 89,713 appears in a column titled Issued and a row titled Non-Spanish surname, and the number 75,704 appears in a column titled Returned and a row titled Non-Spanish surname.

Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the remainder of this Paragraph, and therefore denies.

- 246. Defendant Hobbs admits that a February 1, 2022, Performance Audit by the Office of the Washington State Auditor found that ballots of white voters were rejected at a lower rate than those of all other racial or ethnic groups. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 247. Defendant Hobbs admits that a document titled *Investigation finds Latino ballots* in WA more likely to be rejected, with a date of February 15, 2021, and the name Joy Borkholder, can be found at the hyperlink in this Paragraph. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 248. Defendant Hobbs admits that a document titled *Investigation finds Latino ballots* in *WA more likely to be rejected*, with a date of February 15, 2021, and the name Joy Borkholder, can be found at the hyperlink in this Paragraph. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 249. Defendant Hobbs admits that the lawsuit *Reyes v. Chilton*, case number 4:21-cv-05075, was filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Washington on May 7, 2021. Defendant Hobbs admits that the original complaint listed the Latino Community Fund, the League of United Latin American Citizens, and an individual voter as named plaintiffs. Defendant Hobbs admits that the original complaint named officials from three counties, including Yakima County, as defendants. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the remainder of this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 250. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.

- 261. Defendant Hobbs admits that Pablo Gonzalez, Teodora Martinez-Chavez, and Bengie Aguilar have each been candidates for state legislative positions in the former Legislative District 15 and that each did not win. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 262. Defendant Hobbs admits that the current Legislative District 15 is represented by Bruce Chandler and Jeremie Dufault in the state house and Jim Honeyford in the state senate. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 263. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 264. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 265. Defendant Hobbs admits that Susan Soto Palmer ran for State Representative in the 2016 Legislative District 14 election and was not elected. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 266. Defendant Hobbs admits that Legislative District 14 is currently represented by Representatives Chris Corry and Gina Mosbrucker and Senator Curtis King. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 267. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.
- 268. Defendant Hobbs admits that Jesse Palacios was elected to the Yakima County Board of Commissioners in 1998 and 2002. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.

1	269. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth		
2	of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.		
3	270. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth		
4	of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.		
5	271. Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth		
6	of the allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.		
7	272. This Paragraph states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the		
8	extent a response is required, Defendant Hobbs is without information sufficient to form a belief		
9	as to the truth of allegations in this Paragraph, and therefore denies.		
10	CLAIMS FOR RELIEF		
11	Count 1		
12	Race and Language Minority Discrimination, Discriminatory Results in Violation of Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act		
13	52 U.S.C. § 10301		
14	273. Defendant Hobbs restates and incorporates by reference his responses to the		
15	allegations in the Complaint.		
16	274. 52 U.S.C. § 10301(a) speaks for itself. This Paragraph states a legal conclusion		
17	to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant Hobbs denies		
18	the allegations in this Paragraph.		
19	275. This Paragraph states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the		
20	extent a response is required, Defendant Hobbs denies the allegations in this Paragraph.		
21	276. This Paragraph states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the		
22	extent a response is required, Defendant Hobbs denies the allegations in this Paragraph.		
23	277. This Paragraph states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the		
24	extent a response is required, Defendant Hobbs denies the allegations in this Paragraph.		
25			
26			

278.	This Paragraph states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the		
extent a respon	se is required, Defendant Hobbs denies the allegations in this Paragraph.		
279.	This Paragraph states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the		
extent a respon	se is required, Defendant Hobbs denies the allegations in this Paragraph.		
280.	This Paragraph states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the		
extent a respon	se is required, Defendant Hobbs denies the allegations in this Paragraph.		
281.	This Paragraph states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the		
extent a respon	se is required, Defendant Hobbs denies the allegations in this Paragraph.		
Count 2 Race and Language Minority Discrimination, Discriminatory Intent in Violation of Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act 52 U.C.S. § 10301			
282.	Defendant Hobbs restates and incorporates by reference his responses to the		
allegations in the	he Complaint.		
283.	This Paragraph states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the		
extent a respon	extent a response is required, Defendant Hobbs denies the allegations in this Paragraph.		
	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
Defend	ant Hobbs takes no position on whether Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief		
requested exce	pt that Defendant Hobbs denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of costs,		
expenses, disbu	expenses, disbursements, or reasonable attorneys' fees as against Defendant Hobbs.		
<u>AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES</u>			
Defenda	ant Hobbs' affirmative defenses to the Complaint are set forth below. By setting		
forth the follow	ring defenses, Defendant Hobbs does not assume burden of proof on the matter and		
issue other than	n those on which he has the burden of proof as a matter of law. Defendant Hobbs		
reserves the right to supplement these defenses.			
1.	Plaintiffs have failed to join all necessary parties.		
Ĭ			

1		2.	One or more Plaintiffs lack in	ndividual or associational standing to bring this
2	action.			
3			PRAYER I	FOR RELIEF
4		1.	Such relief as the Court deems	just and proper.
5		DAT	ED this 9th day of March 2022.	
6				ROBERT W. FERGUSON
7				Attorney General
8				s/Karl D. Smith KARL D. SMITH, WSBA No. 41988
9				LESLIE GRIFFITH, WSBA No. 47197 Deputy Solicitors General
10				1125 Washington Street SE PO Box 40100
11				Olympia, WA 98504-0100 (360) 753-6200
12				Karl.Smith@atg.wa.gov Leslie.Griffith@atg.wa.gov
13				Attorneys for Defendant Steven Hobbs
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				

1	<u>DECLARATION OF SERVICE</u>
2	I hereby declare that on this day I caused the foregoing document to be electronically
3	filed with the Clerk of the Court using the Court's CM/ECF System which will serve a copy of
4	this document upon all counsel of record.
5	DATED this 9th day of March 2022, at Olympia, Washington.
6	n/ I I I
7	s/Leena Vanderwood Leena Vanderwood Leena Vanderwood
8	Legal Assistant 1125 Washington Street SE PO Box 40100
9	Olympia, WA 98504-0100 (360) 753-6200
10	Leena. Vanderwood@atg.wa.gov
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
25	
25 26	
20	